

Contents

Preface	V
---------------	---

Peter Bydlinski

**»CONTRACEPTION FRAUD« AND MAINTENANCE OBLIGATIONS –
REFLECTIONS ON A BORDERLINE TORT CASE**

I. Preliminary remarks	3
II. Two example fact patterns as an introduction	3
III. Potential bases for the father's claim	5
IV. The judicial arguments against the father's compensation claim ...	5
V. My own and others' thoughts on the topic	7
A. Questions upon questions	7
B. The damage caused	8
C. Analysing the unlawfulness	8
D. The classification and significance of the child's welfare argument	10
E. The significance of an agreement on contraception	13
F. The significance of (consensual) intercourse	14
VI. Summary	15

Walter Doralt

PENALTY CLAUSES IN COMMERCIAL CONTRACTS

I. Penalty clauses in a commercial context	17
A. The world beyond law	17
B. Law(s) and legal analysis	19
II. Canvassing the landscape, comparatively	20
A. Austria	20
B. Germany	23
C. France	24
D. Italy	25
E. England	26
F. International model rules: PECL and Unidroit PICC	28

III.	Comparative assessment: convergence (to a degree)	29
IV.	Conclusions	31
	A. Freedom of contract	31
	B. Signalling function of penalty clauses	31

Michael Faure

THE ROLE OF LIABILITY IN CLIMATE CHANGE LITIGATION

I.	Introduction	35
II.	The judge versus the regulator: public interest	37
III.	The judge or the regulator: private interest	38
IV.	Tort liability for climate change?	40
	A. Primacy of regulation	40
	B. Limits of regulation	42
	C. The potential of climate change liability	43
V.	Conclusion	45

Israel Gilead

**FAULT-BASED LIABILITY OF DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS
TO THIRD PARTIES – AN ISRAELI PERSPECTIVE**

I.	Introduction	47
II.	The expansive approach to D&O liability to third parties	48
III.	The restrictive approach to D&O liability to third parties	50
IV.	Preferring the restrictive approach – Policy considerations	52
V.	Methods of liability restriction	53
VI.	D&O tort liability compared with liability of public officials	53
VII.	Conclusions	55

Ernst Karner

LIABILITY FOR MEDICAL ROBOTS AND AUTONOMOUS MEDICAL DEVICES 57

I.	Starting point	57
II.	Fault-based liability	59
	A. Medical practitioners' liability	59
	B. Producers' liability	63
III.	A duty to record (logging) and the burden of proof	63
IV.	Medical robots as vicarious agents?	66

V.	Product liability	69
VI.	Strict (risk-based) liability	73
VII.	A need for an »e-person«?	75
VIII.	Summary	76

Bernhard A. Koch

THE »PRINCIPLES OF EUROPEAN TORT LAW« IN THE DIGITAL AGE

I.	Introduction	79
II.	Damage	81
III.	Causation	81
	A. Adjusting the proof of causation	82
	B. Redefining the cause	84
IV.	Bases of liability	85
	A. Fault liability	85
	B. Strict liability	86
	C. Enterprise liability	88
	D. Vicarious liability	89
V.	Outlook	90

Gabriele Koziol • Fumihiko Nagano

**COMPENSATION OF IMMATERIAL DAMAGE ARISING FROM DAMAGE
TO PROPERTY IN AUSTRIAN AND JAPANESE LAW**

I.	Introduction	91
II.	Japanese law	92
	A. Compensation of immaterial damage in general	92
	B. Compensation of immaterial damage arising from damage to property	94
III.	Austrian law	96
	A. Compensation of immaterial damage in general	96
	B. Compensation of immaterial damage arising from damage to property	97
IV.	Boundaries of compensation of emotional damage	98
	A. Requirements for compensation of immaterial damage arising from damage to property in comparison	98
	B. Reasons for limitation of compensation of emotional damage	100
	1. Possibility of objectivisation	100
	2. <i>De minimis</i> threshold	101

C. Grounds for compensation of emotional damage	101
V. Concluding remarks	103

Ulrich Magnus

LIMITS TO THE COMPENSATION OF FICTITIOUS LOSSES

I. Introduction and dedication	105
II. The principle of freedom of disposition	105
III. Brief overview of the main principles of the German law of damages	109
IV. Limits to the compensation of fictitious losses	110
A. Definition of fictitious losses	110
B. Tort	111
C. Services	112
D. Sales law	114
E. Rent, leasing	115
V. Conclusions	115

Miquel Martí-Casals

NON-PECUNIARY LOSSES OF SECONDARY VICTIMS

WHEN THE PRIMARY VICTIM SURVIVES: *BAREMO V DINTILHAC*

I. Preliminary remarks	117
A. »Primary« and »secondary« victims	117
B. The Spanish system for the assessment of compensation for death and personal injury	119
II. The different position of secondary victims in the case of death and personal injury of the primary victim	121
A. Compensation to secondary victims in the case of death of the primary victim	121
B. Compensation to secondary victims in the case of survival (personal injury) of the primary victim	125
1. The »exceptional« case (art. 36.3 LRSCVM)	126
2. Compensation for the loss of quality of life of the family members of a seriously injured victim (art. 110 LRSCVM)	129
3. Compensation for »sexual loss« (<i>préjudice sexuel</i> , <i>perjuicio sexual</i>)	133
III. Conclusion	135

Johann Neethling • Johan Potgieter

**THE OVERLAP BETWEEN THE DELICTS OF INTERFERENCE
WITH A CONTRACTUAL RELATIONSHIP AND UNLAWFUL
COMPETITION – A SOUTH AFRICAN PERSPECTIVE**

I.	Introduction	137
II.	Instances of overlapping	137
III.	Wrongfulness	143
IV.	Fault (intent or negligence)	149
V.	Summary	150

Jaap Spier

MISTAKE OF LAW AND SUSTAINABILITY

I.	Introduction	153
II.	Subjective or objective fault and wrongfulness: the key features	153
III.	Lack of knowledge of »the law«: major scenarios	155
IV.	Clarity of the law in the realm of sustainability is important	157
V.	Sustainability a hopeless case?	160
VI.	The value of soft law instruments and the need for more concrete »rules«	163
VII.	Imperfect solutions as long as »the law« is not (yet) sufficiently crystallised	165
	A. Introduction	165
	B. Minimum obligations	166
	C. Are minimum obligations an overstatement?	166
	D. An attempt to formulate additional obligations	168
	E. Quid if the minimum or the additional obligations are not met?	169
VIII.	Final observation	172

Barbara C. Steininger

**COMPENSATION AWARDS FOR NON-MATERIAL DAMAGE
UNDER THE GDPR**

I.	Introduction	173
II.	First cases in national courts	175
III.	Issues raised by the first national decisions	180

Luboš Tichý

NON-PECUNIARY DAMAGE AND ITS COMPENSATION

I.	Introduction. Defining the questions	189
II.	Development and state of Czech law of damages for non-pecuniary loss	189
	A. The Austrian Civil Code (ABGB), the Civil Code of 1959 and the Civil Code of 1964	190
	1. ABGB	190
	2. The Civil Code of 1950	191
	3. The Civil Code of 1964	192
	B. Czech legislation based on the (»new«) Civil Code of 2012 – basic categories	195
	1. Brief description	195
	2. Non-pecuniary damage	197
	3. Recovery – satisfaction	199
	a. Notion	199
	b. Structure of compensation	200
	4. Assessment of the amount of monetary compensation	201
III.	Non-pecuniary damage and its recovery – critical analysis	203
	A. Relevance of non-pecuniary damage and its recovery	203
	B. Concept of non-pecuniary damage and its categories	204
	1. The concept	204
	2. Non-pecuniary damage	205
	3. Psychological pain	206
	4. Basic conceptual issue	210
	C. Compensation. Concept and function	210
	1. Restitution or compensation	211
	2. Prevention	212
	D. Structure of compensation for non-pecuniary damage	214
IV.	Extent (amount) of monetary compensation for non-pecuniary damage and its determination	215
	A. Aspects of severity standards (factors)	215
	1. Direct factors	216
	2. Indirect factors	217
	a. Personal indirect factors	217
	b. Indirect external factors	218
	c. Indirect general factors	219
	B. Methods of determining monetary compensation for non-pecuniary damage	220

1. Subjective or objective method	220
2. Tabular compensation schemes or judicial discretion	221
V. Summary and conclusion	222

Christiane Wendehorst

LIABILITY FOR PURE DATA LOSS

I. Introduction	225
II. Well-established grounds of liability for »pure data loss«	228
A. Contractual liability	228
1. Contract between the victim and the tortfeasor	228
2. Contract with protective third-party effects	229
B. Special grounds of liability in tort	229
1. Liability under Article 82 GDPR	229
2. Liability for infringement of provisions implementing the Budapest Convention	230
3. Liability for deliberate infliction of harm contrary to public policy	231
C. Liability under the doctrine of »liquidation of third-party losses«	231
III. General tort liability for pure data loss	232
A. General protection of data as property?	232
1. Recognition of »absolute rights«	232
a. The debate about »data ownership«	232
b. Ownership in »data embodiments«	233
c. Other approaches	233
2. Problems with across-the-board protection against negligence	234
a. Fuzzy definition and lack of obviousness	234
b. Deletion and encryption obligations	236
c. »Data cemeteries« and »data pollution« as a general problem	236
B. Cases where liability for negligent causation of data loss is justified	237
1. Transferable digital assets	237
2. Digital content	238
a. Relevance of the general opinion	238
b. Copies of protectable digital content	239
3. Unauthorised access to storage space	240
IV. Summary	241

Bénédict Winiger

**DUCK DIVING UNDER IN THE PRINCIPLES OF EUROPEAN TORT LAW:
ON UNLAWFULNESS**

I.	The duck's birth and immersion	243
II.	The duck in the PETL	245
III.	The function of unlawfulness	246
IV.	Arguments in favour of the explicit mentioning of unlawfulness	247
	A. Legal logic	247
	B. Transparency and good legislation	248
	C. Facilitate the legal reasoning	249
V.	Remedy	249

List of Contributors	253
----------------------------	-----